

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,144	11/05/2003	Laszlo Kulcsar	4205.002 7816	
7590 11/17/2005			EXAMINER	
LISA A. BRZYCKI			HAVAN, THU THAO	
Gehrke & Associates, S.C. 123 North 86th Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wauwatosa, WI 53226			3624	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/702,144	KULCSAR ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thu Thao Havan	3624		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 A.</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)☐ 6)⊠ 7)☐ 8)☐ <b>Applicati</b> 9)☐ 10)☐	Claim(s) 1-12 and 16-20 is/are pending in the adaptive day of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-12 and 16-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct Theorem Replacement drawing sheet(s) includ	wn from consideration.  r election requirement.  er.  epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seetion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 3624

### **Detailed Action**

## Response to Amendment

Claims 1-12 and 16-20 are pending. This action is in response to the amendment received August 31, 2005.

## Response to Arguments

The rejection of claims 1-12 and 16-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirka et al. (US publication no. 2003/0061157) in view of Star (US publication no. 2003/0216990) is maintained.

Applicant's arguments filed August 31, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the arguments concerning the previously rejected claims the following comments are made:

A.) In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

B.) Applicant alleges that the prior art made of record fails to teach a primary user assigns a PIN number to an account limit to at least one secondary user and the secondary user is a family member of the primary user. The examiner disagrees with applicant's representative since Star teaches a primary user assigns a PIN number to an account limit to at least one secondary user is a family

member of the primary user (para. 0009, 0027, and 0040). In other words, Star teaches each account can have a pin number and a root user that is capable of creating sub accounts on the server, each sub account having different levels of user privileges.

Also, his system allows multiple users to share the same account with one main user has total authority. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to enable primary user to assign an account limit and pin number to the secondary user because it provides a mean of performing integrated financial transactions with multiple privileges for users. Furthermore, both Hirka and Star teach financial accounts and transaction for lending institution *or* in relation to the Internet.

With regards to the claims rejected as obvious over Hirka and Star, the examiner would like to point out that the references teach the claimed limitations and thus provides adequate support for the claimed limitations. Therefore, the examiner maintains that Hirka and Star teach the claimed limitations.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Vineens Melli

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8111. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see <a href="http://pair-direct-uspto.gov">http://pair-direct-uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

TTH

11/11/2005

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600